

Chief Judge Ricardo S. Martinez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD.,
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.,

Defendants.

NO. CR19-010RSM

UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXCLUDE
IRRELEVANT AND PREJUDICIAL
EVIDENCE AT TRIAL

The United States of America, by and through Tessa M. Gorman, First Assistant United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington (Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515), and Todd Greenberg, Thomas Woods, and Siddharth Velamoor, Assistant United States Attorneys for said District, hereby files this response to the Defendants' Motion to Exclude Irrelevant and Prejudicial Evidence at Trial (Dkt. 53).

The defendants move the Court *in limine* for a ruling excluding at trial evidence related to the allegations contained in paragraphs 38, 47, and 48 of the Indictment. These allegations concern a report issued by the United States House of Representatives Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, finding, among other things, that Huawei engaged in a "pattern of disregard for the intellectual property rights of other entities and

1 companies in the United States”; lawsuits in which Huawei was sued for theft of
2 intellectual property by Cisco and Motorola; and a Huawei bonus program designed to
3 reward Huawei employees for stealing confidential information from competitors.
4 Indictment ¶¶ 37, 47, 48. The defendants argue primarily that none of this evidence is
5 admissible under Federal Rule of Evidence 404(b), and that it is not otherwise relevant to
6 the charges and therefore is inadmissible under Rules 401, 402, and 403.

7 The defendants’ Motion to Exclude is premature under the Case Scheduling Order
8 entered by this Court on March 8, 2019, as stipulated to by the parties. Dkt. 39. That
9 Order sets a deadline of November 4, 2019, by which time the government must provide
10 notice of intent to admit evidence pursuant to Rule 404(b). Dkt. 39 at page 2, lines 4-5.
11 In turn, the Order provides a date of December 2, 2019, for the filing of motions to
12 exclude evidence under Rule 404(b) and “initial motions *in limine*.” Dkt. 39 at page 2,
13 lines 6-7.

14 Under the Court’s Order, the government is not required to provide notice under
15 Rule 404(b) for another ten weeks. There are good reasons that this date was set closer to
16 the trial date. First, the government’s investigation is ongoing, and as a result, additional
17 information bearing upon the 404(b) notice may still yet be gathered. Second, the
18 government is in the process of organizing its evidence for trial with a view towards
19 identifying, in a comprehensive manner, the evidence it intends to offer under
20 Rule 404(b), rather than engaging in the sort of piecemeal process that would result from
21 litigating the defendants’ motion at this time. Although the government looks forward to
22 the opportunity to set forth the various reasons why evidence relating to the allegations in
23 paragraphs 38, 47, and 48 should be admitted at trial, it is premature for it to do so now.

24 WHEREFORE, the government requests that the Court strike the Motion to
25 Exclude without prejudice, and instruct the defendants to refile the motion subsequent to
26 their receipt of the government’s 404(b) notice. This procedure would not prejudice the
27
28

1 defendants in any way, and would be consistent with the Case Scheduling Order entered
2 by the Court upon the stipulation of the parties.

3 DATED this 22nd day of August, 2019.

4
5 Respectfully submitted,

6 TESSA M. GORMAN
7 First Assistant United States Attorney
8 (Acting Under Authority Conferred by
9 28 U.S.C. § 515)

10 s/ Todd Greenberg
11 TODD GREENBERG
12 THOMAS WOODS
13 SIDDHARTH VELAMoor
14 Assistant United States Attorneys
15 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
16 Seattle, WA 98101-1271
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 22, 2019 I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the attorney(s) of record for the defendant(s).

s/Jenny Fingles

JENNY FINGLES

Legal Assistant

United States Attorney's Office

700 Stewart, Suite 5220

Seattle, Washington 98101-1271

Phone: 206-553-7970

E-mail: jenny.fingles@usdoj.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

HUAWEI DEVICE CO., LTD.,
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.,
Defendants.

NO. CR19-010RSM

**ORDER STRIKING WITHOUT
PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS' MOTION
TO EXCLUDE IRRELEVANT AND
PREJUDICIAL EVIDENCE AT TRIAL**

The Court, having considered the parties' briefing and the relevant record on the Defendants' Motion to Exclude Irrelevant and Prejudicial Evidence at Trial (Dkt. 53), HEREBY strikes the motion without prejudice. The defendants may refile the motion at a time consistent with the Court's Case Scheduling Order (Dkt. 39), and subsequent to the government's provision of notice under Federal Rule of Evidence 404(b) under the terms of that Order.

DATED this ____ day of August, 2019.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
Chief United States District Judge

1
2 Presented by:

3 TESSA M. GORMAN

4 First Assistant United States Attorney

5 (Acting Under Authority Conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 515)

6 s/ Todd Greenberg

7 TODD GREENBERG

8 THOMAS WOODS

9 SIDDHARTH VELAMOOR

10 Assistant United States Attorneys

11 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220

12 Seattle, WA 98101-1271